

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P O Box 1450 Alexandra, Virginia 22313-1450 www.waybi.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO	
10/824,584	04/08/2004	Stephen Hart	20040117.ORI	6640	
23595 NIKOLAI & N	7590 02/11/200 MERSEREAU, P.A.	EXAMINER			
900 SECOND	AVENUE SOUTH	EPPS FORD, JANET L			
SUITE 820 MINNEAPOL	JS, MN 55402		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			1633		
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			02/11/2008	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)		
10/824,584	HART ET AL.		
Examiner	Art Unit		
Janet L. Epps-Ford	1633		

	Janet L. Epps-Ford	1633	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appea	ars on the cover sheet with the o	correspondence add	ress
THE REPLY FILED FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION	ON IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWA	NCE.	
 The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on t application, applicant must timely file one of the following re application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appea for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 Cf periods: 	eplies: (1) an amendment, affidavi al (with appeal fee) in compliance	t, or other evidence, w with 37 CFR 41.31; or	hich places the (3) a Request
The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Ad no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire lat Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b).	visory Action, or (2) the date set forth ter than SIX MONTHS from the mailing	date of the final rejection	n.
MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.070 Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date o have been filled is the date for purposes of determining the period of exte under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the sh set forth in (a) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL.	n which the petition under 37 CFR 1.1 ension and the corresponding amount nortened statutory period for reply origi	36(a) and the appropriat of the fee. The appropria nally set in the final Office	e extension fee ate extension fee e action; or (2) as
The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in complifiling the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any extens Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed wit AMENDMENTS.	sion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of the	s of the date of appeal. Since a
The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, but (a) They raise new issues that would require further contribution. They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below matter) They raise the issue of new matter.	sideration and/or search (see NO) /);	TE below);	
(c) ☑ They are not deemed to place the application in bette appeal; and/or (d) ☐ They present additional claims without canceling a continuation of the continuation	orresponding number of finally reje		ne issues for
NOTE: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> . (See 37 CFR 1.11 4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.12:			OTOL 204)
 Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s): 		mpliant Amendment (-1 OL-324).
Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allo non-allowable claim(s).		timely filed amendmer	t canceling the
7. X For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) X how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provious the status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) ejected: 12.5.6.9 11.17-26.31-33.40 and 41.		l be entered and an e	xplanation of
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: <u>3-4, and 39</u> . AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE			
The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).			
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing a entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to ov showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary. 	ercome <u>all</u> rejections under appea	al and/or appellant fail:	s to provide a
 The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER 	of the status of the claims after er	ntry is below or attach	ed.
11. The request for reconsideration has been considered but See Continuation Sheet.	does NOT place the application in	condition for allowan	ce because:
12. Note the attached Information <i>Disclosure Statement(s)</i> . (F 13. Other:	PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s).		
	/Janet L. Epps-Ford/ Primary Examiner Art Unit: 1633		

Continuation of 3. NOTE: Applicant's amendment would potentially raise new prior art issues, now that the claims read on an in vitro method. Moreover, Applicant's amendment which limits the scope of the daims to wherein the nucleic add binding portion is joined to the expression repressor protein, either directly or indirectly, by an intermediate linker or moiety, requires further consideration, and potentially raises a new ground of rejection.

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: 6. Since Applicant's amendment filed 1/10/2008 was not entered, Applicant's arguments with respect to the rejection of the instant claims for lack of enablement under 35 USC 112, 1st, which appear to be directed to the claims as amended are moot. Moreover, in regards to the rejection of the instant claims for lack of written description under 35 USC § 112, 1st, Applicants assert that the ordinary skilled artisan would clearly understand how to identify, design and/or synthesize suitable portions capable of suppressing expression of the selected gene, as required by the claims. Contrary to Applicant's assertions, as stated in the prior Orice Action, Due to the significant breadth of the instant claims, and the limited guidance provided in the specification as filed and in the prior art, in regards to describing the structures of the full scope of compounds encompassed by the instant claims, the skilled artisan would have to resort to further experimentation in order identify the full scope of compounds encompassed by the instant claims. See MFEP § 2163, which states T4D biomolecule sequence described only by a functional characteristic, without any known or disclosed correlation between that function and the structure of the sequence, normally is not a sufficient identifying characteristic for written description purposes, even when accompanied by a method of obtaining the claimed sequence."